arXiv:1508.02036v1 [math.FA] 9 Aug 2015

FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS FOR C_0-GROUPS USING (CO)TYPE

JAN ROZENDAAL

Abstract. We study the functional calculus properties of generators of C_0-groups under type and cotype assumptions on the underlying Banach space. In particular, we show the following.

Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Then A has a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus from $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ to X, i.e. $f(A):\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)\to X$ is bounded for each bounded holomorphic function f on a sufficiently large strip.

As a corollary of our main theorem, for sectorial operators we quantify the gap between bounded imaginary powers and a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus in terms of the type and cotype of the underlying Banach space. For cosine functions we obtain similar results as for C_0-groups. We extend our results to R-bounded operator-valued calculi, and we give an application to the theory of rational approximation of C_0-groups.

1. Introduction

Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X, and let

$$\theta(U) := \inf\left\{\theta \geq 0 \,\middle|\, \exists M \geq 1 : \|U(s)\| \leq M\mathrm{e}^{\theta|s|} \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}\right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

For each $\omega > 0$ define

$$\mathrm{St}_\omega := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\mathrm{Im}(z)| > \omega\}, \tag{1.2}$$

and let $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ be the space of bounded holomorphic functions on St_ω with the supremum norm. There is a natural definition of $f(A)$ as an unbounded operator on X for each $\omega > \theta(U)$ and each $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ (see Section 2.1). It was shown by Boyadzhiev and deLaubenfels in [8] that, if X is a Hilbert space, then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} \tag{1.3}$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$. One says that A has a *bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus.*

It is useful to know that an operator has a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus. The theory of $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus has applications to questions of maximal regularity (see [2,32,35]) and played a crucial role in the solution to the Kato square root problem in [4,5]. Also, functional calculus bounds can be used to determine convergence rates in the numerical approximation theory for solutions to evolution equations (see [9,20]).

It is known that one can still obtain nontrivial functional calculus results when X is not a Hilbert space. For example, it was shown in [24] that if $-\mathrm{i}A$ generates a

---

Date: August 11, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A60; Secondary 47D03, 46B20, 42A45.

Key words and phrases. Functional calculus, Operator group, Type and cotype, Transference, R-boundedness.

The author is supported by NWO-grant 613.000.908 “Applications of Transference Principles”.

C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}}$ on a UMD space X, then A has a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$-calculus for all $\omega > \theta(U)$. Here $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} := \sup_{z\in\mathrm{St}_\omega} |f(z)| + |zf'(z)| < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

In [28] a similar statement was obtained on general Banach spaces, where one restricts the operators to real interpolation spaces between X and the domain of A.

However, there are several drawbacks to functional calculus theory for function spaces which are strictly contained in the class of $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-functions. For example, in applications one might be interested in functions f which are not contained in a smaller function space such as $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$. But even when dealing with a function f which is known to yield a bounded operator $f(A)$, it can be of interest to know that (1.3) holds, instead of an estimate for $\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ with respect to a larger function norm such as in (1.4). This is the case for numerical approximation schemes for solutions to evolution equations, where one can often improve convergence rates for operators with a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus (see [15, 18]).

Moreover, a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus yields square function estimates that arise frequently in harmonic analysis (see [21,33,37]) and that are of use in e.g. the theory of stochastic evolution equations (see [45, 46]). Also, a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus can be "bootstrapped" to yield large classes of R-bounded operators, and the notion of R-boundedness has various applications in the theory of evolution equations (see [35]). Such links have not been made for functional calculus theory with respect to function spaces other than the class of $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-functions.

Finally, it seems somewhat unsatisfactory that there is such a rough division between functional calculus properties on Hilbert spaces and on UMD spaces, in the sense that one goes from a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus on Hilbert spaces to a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1$-calculus on UMD spaces. One might expect a finer division of functional calculus results within the class of UMD spaces, and for instance on L^p-spaces one might hope that functional calculus properties improve as p tends to 2. The latter is indeed the case for symmetric contraction semigroups on L^p-spaces (see [11, 13]).

In the present article we aim to address the issues above. We study $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus for generators of C_0-groups in terms of the type and cotype of the underlying Banach space (see Definition 2.3). Our main result, proved in Theorem 4.1, is as follows. (For the real interpolation space $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ see (1.7)).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with type $p\in[1,2]$ and cotype $q\in[2,\infty)$. Let $\omega > \theta(U)$. Then there exists a constant $C\geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)\subseteq \mathrm{D}(f(A))$ and*

$$\|f(A)x\|_X \leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}\,\|x\|_{\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ and all $x\in\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$.

Under additional geometric assumptions we improve Theorem 1.1. Indeed, in Theorem 4.2 we show that, if X is (a complemented subspace of) a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice (see Definition 2.6), then for each $\lambda > \omega$ there exists a constant $C\geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}})\subseteq \mathrm{D}(f(A))$ and

$$\|f(A)x\|_X \leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}\,\|(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}}x\|_X \tag{1.5}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ and all $x\in\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}})$.

Theorem 1.1 shows that each generator $-\mathrm{i}A$ of a C_0-group on a Banach space X with type p and cotype q has a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus *from* $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ *to* X, and A has a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus from $\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}})$ to X under additional assumptions on X. Note that Theorem 1.1 is only interesting if $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}>0$, since $\mathrm{D}_A(0,1)=\{0\}$. Because $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}=0$ if and only if X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space, and since each Hilbert space is isomorphic to a 2-convex and 2-concave Banach lattice (an L^2-space), together Theorem 1.1 and (1.5) extend the result of Boyadzhiev and deLaubenfels in [8] to Banach spaces with finite cotype.

The interpolation spaces $\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,1)$ and the fractional domains $\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{-\theta})$ increase as θ tends to zero. Therefore the statements in this paper show that many functional calculus properties depend in a quantitative manner on how "close" the geometry of the underlying space is to that of a Hilbert space. Since each UMD space has non-trivial type and finite cotype, Theorem 1.1 provides a scale of functional calculus results on UMD spaces. For (Ω,μ) a measure space and $p\in[1,\infty)$, the space $\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega,\mu)$ is a p-convex and p-concave Banach lattice with type $\min(p,2)$ and cotype $\max(p,2)$. Hence our results show that the functional calculus properties of group generators on L^p-spaces improve as p tends to 2.

In Corollary 4.5 we deduce from Theorem 1.1 that each $f\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ with polynomial decay of order $\alpha>\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ at infinity satisfies $f(A)\in\mathcal{L}(X)$. Under the assumptions of (1.5) the case $\alpha=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ is attained.

That group generators on Hilbert spaces have a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus on strips implies that sectorial operators with bounded imaginary powers have a bounded sectorial $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus, as shown by McIntosh in [40]. It is known that outside of Hilbert spaces an operator with bounded imaginary powers need not have a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus. In Theorem 5.1 we quantify the gap between bounded imaginary powers and a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus, by showing that each sectorial operator A with bounded imaginary powers has a bounded sectorial $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus from $\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ to X if the underlying Banach space X has type $p\in[1,2]$ and cotype $q\in[2,\infty)$. As in the classical case of a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus, we obtain from this an unconditionality result and square function estimates.

For generators of cosine functions we derive similar results as for C_0-groups. In particular, in Theorem 5.4 we show that each generator $-A$ of a cosine function on a Banach space X with type p and cotype q has a bounded parabola-type $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus from $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}),1)$ to X.

We extend Theorem 1.1 and (1.5) to operator-valued functional calculi. Then we show that, under the assumption that X has property (α), in Theorem 1.1 A in fact has an R-bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus from $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ to X. Similarly, we obtain an R-bounded version of (1.5). These results are sharp, as Example 6.5 shows.

To indicate the use of Theorem 1.1 we give an application to the study of numerical approximation methods for the solutions to evolution equations. In Proposition 7.3 we consider a method of rational approximation proposed in [31, 41], and for exponentially stable groups on L^p-spaces we improve the rates of convergence which were obtained in [15]. In Corollary 7.4 we show that many rational approximation methods of exponentially stable C_0-groups converge strongly on $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ if the underlying space X has type $p\in[1,2]$ and cotype $q\in[2,\infty)$.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we use transference principles going back to [7,10,12]. The transference technique has been applied to functional calculus theory in [13], [29] and [24, 25, 27, 28]. In [28] an interpolation version of the transference principle for unbounded groups from [24] was established. This transference principle was then combined with a theorem about Fourier multipliers on vector-valued Besov spaces. In the present paper we also obtain a transference principle involving vector-valued Besov spaces, but we combine it with a theorem about Fourier multipliers between distinct Besov spaces. For (1.5) we use a statement about Fourier multipliers from L^p to L^q. These results, Propositions 2.4 and 2.7, were discovered recently in [44].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some of the basics of functional calculus theory, vector-valued Besov spaces and the notions of type and cotype. We then state two results from [44] which are vital for that which follows. In Section 3 we establish two new transference principles for C_0-groups. We use these in Section 4 to prove Theorem 1.1 and (1.5) and some corollaries for C_0-groups. In Section 5 we obtain results for sectorial operators and generators of cosine functions, and in Section 6 we extend the results from previous sections to R-bounded operator-valued calculi. Finally, in Section 7 we give an application to the theory of rational approximation schemes.

1.1. **Notation and terminology.**

We write $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ for the natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}_+$ the open right half-plane of complex numbers $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$, and $\mathbb{C}_- := \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$.

We denote Banach spaces over the complex numbers by X and Y. The space of bounded linear operators from X to Y is $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, and $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X, X)$. The domain of a closed operator A on X is $\mathrm{D}(A)$, a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\mathrm{D}(A)} := \|x\|_X + \|Ax\|_X \qquad (x \in \mathrm{D}(A)).$$

The spectrum of A is $\sigma(A)$ and the resolvent set $\rho(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$. We write $R(\lambda, A) := (\lambda - A)^{-1}$ for the resolvent operator of A at $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ and (Ω, μ) a measure space, $\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega; X)$ denotes the Bochner space of equivalence classes of p-integrable X-valued functions on Ω. We often write $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we let $\mathrm{W}^{n,p}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ be the Sobolev space of n times weakly differentiable $f \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ such that $f^{(n)} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$. The Hölder conjugate of p is denoted by p' and is defined by $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'}$.

We let $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of complex Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}$ with the total variation norm. For $\omega \geq 0$ we let $\mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ be the convolution algebra of $\mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$ of the form $\mu(\mathrm{d}s) = \mathrm{e}^{-\omega|s|}\nu(\mathrm{d}s)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$, with $\|\mu\|_{\mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})} := \|\mathrm{e}^{\omega|\cdot|}\mu\|_{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})}$.

For $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ open in $\mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\Omega)$ the space of bounded holomorphic functions $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$, a Banach algebra with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\Omega)} := \sup_{z\in\Omega} |f(z)| \qquad (f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\Omega)).$$

The class of X-valued rapidly decreasing smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; X)$, and the space of X-valued tempered distributions is $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}; X)$. The Fourier transform of $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is $\mathcal{F}\Phi$. If $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ for $\omega > 0$ then $\mathcal{F}\mu \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}\mu(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}zs}\mu(\mathrm{d}s) \qquad (z \in \mathrm{St}_\omega).$$

An interpolation couple is a pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces which are embedded continuously in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z. The real interpolation space of (X,Y) with parameters $\theta\in[0,1]$ and $q\in[1,\infty]$ is denoted by $(X,Y)_{\theta,q}$. If $T:X+Y\to X+Y$ restricts to a bounded operator on X and Y then

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}((X,Y)_{\theta,q})}\leq\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^{1-\theta}\,\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)}^{\theta}\tag{1.6}$$

for all $\theta\in(0,1)$ and $q\in[1,\infty]$. We mainly consider real interpolation spaces for the interpolation couple $(X,\mathrm{D}(A))$, where A is a closed operator on X. We write

$$\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,q):=(X,\mathrm{D}(A))_{\theta,q}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\|x\|_{\theta,q}:=\|x\|_{\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,q)}\tag{1.7}$$

for $x\in\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,q)$.

For an operator B on X and a continuously embedded space $Y\hookrightarrow X$, the part of B in Y is the operator B_Y on Y that satisfies $B_Y y=By$ for $y\in\mathrm{D}(B_Y):=\{z\in\mathrm{D}(B)\cap Y\mid Bz\in Y\}$. We write $B_{\theta,q}:=B_{\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,q)}$ for $\theta\in[0,1]$ and $q\in[1,\infty]$.

Let $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ be be unbounded and $f:X\to\mathbb{C}$, $g:X\to[0,\infty)$. We write $f(z)\in O(g(z))$ as $z\to\infty$ if there exists a $z_0\in\Omega$ and a constant $C\geq 0$ such that $|f(z)|\leq Cg(z)$ for all $z\in\Omega$ with $|z|\geq|z_0|$.

2. Functional calculus and Fourier multipliers

In this section we present the background on functional calculus and Fourier multipliers which will be needed for the rest of the article.

2.1. **Functional calculus.** We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of the theory of C_0-groups from [17] and the functional calculus for generators of C_0-groups as treated in [22, Chapter 4].

An operator A on a Banach space X is a *strip-type operator* of *height* $\omega_0\geq 0$ if $\sigma(A)\subseteq\overline{\mathrm{St}_{\omega_0}}$, where $\mathrm{St}_0:=\mathbb{R}$, and $\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathrm{St}_\omega}\|R(\lambda,A)\|<\infty$ for all $\omega>\omega_0$. For $\omega>0$ let

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega):=\left\{g\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)\,\middle|\,g(z)\in O(|z|^{-\alpha})\text{ for some }\alpha>1\text{ as }|\mathrm{Re}(z)|\to\infty\right\}.$$

The *strip-type functional calculus* for a strip-type operator A of height ω_0 is defined as follows. First, operators $f(A)\in\mathcal{L}(X)$ are associated with $f\in\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ for $\omega>\omega_0$:

$$f(A):=\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{\partial\mathrm{St}_{\omega'}}f(z)R(z,A)\,\mathrm{d}z.\tag{2.1}$$

Here $\partial\mathrm{St}_{\omega'}$ is the positively oriented boundary of $\mathrm{St}_{\omega'}$ for $\omega'\in(\omega_0,\omega)$. This procedure is independent of the choice of ω' by Cauchy's theorem, and yields an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)\to\mathcal{L}(X)$. The definition of $f(A)$ is extended to a larger class of functions by *regularization*, i.e.

$$f(A):=e(A)^{-1}(ef)(A)\tag{2.2}$$

if there exists an $e\in\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ with $e(A)$ injective and $ef\in\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$. Then $f(A)$ is a closed unbounded operator on X, and the definition of $f(A)$ is independent of the choice of the regularizer e. Each $f\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ is regularizable by the function $z\mapsto(\lambda-z)^{-2}$ for $|\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)|>\omega$.

Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(X)$. Then A is a strip-type operator of height $\theta(U)$, with $\theta(U)$ as in (1.1). Let $M\geq 1$ and $\omega\geq 0$ be such that $\|U(s)\|\leq M\mathrm{e}^{\omega|s|}$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$. For $\mu\in\mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ let

$$U_\mu x:=\int_{\mathbb{R}}U(s)x\,\mathrm{d}\mu(s)\qquad(x\in X).\tag{2.3}$$

The mapping $\mu \mapsto U_\mu$ is an algebra homomorphism $\mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ called the *Hille-Phillips calculus*, and the following lemma from [23, Lemma 2.2] shows that this calculus is consistent with the strip-type calculus for A.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X, and let $\omega > \alpha \geq 0$. Then for each $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ there exists a $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f = \mathcal{F}\mu$ and $f(A) = U_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

A fundamental result in functional calculus theory is the Convergence Lemma. The following is a version of this lemma adapted to our setting.

Lemma 2.2 (Convergence Lemma)**.** *Let A be a densely defined strip-type operator of height $\omega_0 \geq 0$ on a Banach space X. Let Y be a Banach space continuously embedded in X such that $\mathrm{D}(A^2) \subseteq Y$ is dense. Let $\omega > \omega_0$ and let $(f_j)_{j\in J} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ be a net satisfying the following conditions:*

- $\sup_{j\in J} \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} < \infty$;
- $f(z) := \lim_j f_j(z)$ *exists for all* $z \in \mathrm{St}_\omega$;
- $\sup_{j\in J} \|f_j(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} < \infty$.

Then $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$, $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}(Y,X)$, $f_j(A)x \to f(A)x$ for all $x \in Y$ and

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \leq \limsup_{j\in J} \|f_j(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)}.$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proofs of [22, Proposition 5.1.7] and [6, Theorem 3.1]. Vitali's theorem for nets (see [3, Theorem 2.1]) implies that $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ and that $f_j \to f$ uniformly on compact subsets of St_ω. Let $\lambda > \omega$. Applying the dominated convergence theorem to (2.1) yields

$$f_j(A)x = \left(\frac{f_j(\cdot)}{(\mathrm{i}\lambda - \cdot)^2}\right)(A)(\mathrm{i}\lambda - A)^2 x \to \left(\frac{f(\cdot)}{(\mathrm{i}\lambda - \cdot)^2}\right)(A)(\mathrm{i}\lambda - A)^2 x = f(A)x$$

and

$$\|f(A)x\|_X \leq \limsup_j \|f_j(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|x\|_Y$$

for all $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^2)$. The required statements now follow since $\mathrm{D}(A^2) \subseteq Y$ is dense and $f(A)$ is a closed operator. □

2.2. **Fourier multipliers and (co)type.** In this section we treat Fourier multiplier operators under type and cotype assumptions on the underlying space. For more on type and cotype see [14]. Recall that a *Gaussian sequence* is a sequence of independent standard normal random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a Banach space, $(\gamma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ a Gaussian sequence on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ and $p \in [1,2]$, $q \in [2,\infty]$.

- X has *(Gaussian) type p* if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$,

$$\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k x_k\Big\|^2\Big)^{1/2} \leq C\Big(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^p\Big)^{1/p}. \tag{2.4}$$

- X has *(Gaussian) cotype* q if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$,

$$\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \|x_k\|^q\Big)^{1/q} \leq C\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k x_k\Big\|^2\Big)^{1/2}, \tag{2.5}$$

  with the obvious modification for $q = \infty$.

The minimal constants C in (2.4) and (2.5) are called the *Gaussian type* p *constant* and the *Gaussian cotype* q *constant* and will be denoted by $\tau_{p,X}$ and $c_{q,X}$. We say that X has *nontrivial type* if X has type $p \in (1,2]$ and that X has *finite cotype* if X has cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$.

Note that it is customary to replace the Gaussian sequence in Definition 2.3 by a *Rademacher sequence*, i.e. a sequence $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of independent identically distributed random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ with $\mathbb{P}(r_1 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(r_1 = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. This does not change the class of spaces under consideration, only the minimal constants in (2.4) and (2.5). We choose to work with Gaussian sequences because the constants $\tau_{p,X}$ and $c_{q,X}$ occur in Proposition 2.4.

Every Banach space X has type $p = 1$ and cotype $q = \infty$, with $\tau_{1,X} = c_{\infty,X} = 1$. If X has type p and cotype q then it has type r with $\tau_{r,X} \leq \tau_{p,X}$ for all $r \in [1,p]$ and cotype s with $c_{s,X} \leq c_{q,X}$ for all $s \in [q,\infty]$. A Banach space X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space if and only if X has type $p = 2$ and cotype $q = 2$, by [36]. Also, a Banach space X with nontrivial type has finite cotype. Each UMD space has nontrivial type.

Let X be a Banach space with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $r \in [1,\infty)$ and let Ω be a measure space. Then $\mathrm{L}^r(\Omega; X)$ has type $\min(p,r)$ and cotype $\max(q,r)$, by [14, Theorem 11.12].

Let $\psi \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be nonnegative with $\mathrm{supp}(\psi) \subseteq [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ and $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-k}s) = 1$ for all $s \in (0,\infty)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\varphi_k(s) := \psi(2^{-k}|s|)$ and $\varphi_0(s) := 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k(s)$. Let X be a Banach space and let $p, q \in [1,\infty]$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The *(inhomogeneous) Besov space* $\mathrm{B}^r_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is the space of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}; X)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{B}^r_{p,q}(\mathbb{R};X)} := \Big\|\Big(2^{kr}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * f\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};X)}\Big)_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\Big\|_{\ell^q} < \infty,$$

endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{B}^r_{p,q}(\mathbb{R};X)}$. Then $\mathrm{B}^r_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is a Banach space, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; X) \subseteq \mathrm{B}^r_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is dense if $p, q < \infty$, and a different choice of ψ yields an equivalent norm on $\mathrm{B}^r_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; X)$. More details on vector-valued Besov spaces can be found in [1, 19].

For X a Banach space and $m \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$, define the *Fourier multiplier operator* $T_m : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; X) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}; X)$ with *symbol* m by

$$T_m(f) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \cdot \mathcal{F}f) \qquad (f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; X)).$$

For each $\mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$L_\mu(f) := \mu * f \qquad (f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; X)) \tag{2.6}$$

defines a Fourier multiplier operator with symbol $\mathcal{F}\mu \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ a Rademacher sequence on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. A collection $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is *R-bounded* if there exists a constant

$C \geq 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k T_k x_k \Big\|_Y^2\Big)^{1/2} \leq C\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \Big\|_X^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{2.7}$$

The minimal constant C in (2.7) is denoted by $R(\mathcal{T})$. If we want to specify the underlying spaces X and Y then we write $R_{X,Y}(\mathcal{T}) = R(\mathcal{T})$, and we write $R_X(\mathcal{T}) = R(\mathcal{T})$ if $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$. By the Kahane contraction principle, each uniformly bounded collection $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is R-bounded as a subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, with $R_X(\mathcal{T})$ equal to the uniform bound of $\mathcal{T}$.

The following is a result discovered recently in [44].

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a Banach space with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty]$. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in [1,\infty)$ and let $m \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$ be such that $\{m(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ is R-bounded. Then $T_m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{B}^{r+1/p-1/q}_{p,s}(\mathbb{R}; X), \mathrm{B}^r_{q,s}(\mathbb{R}; X))$ with*

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{B}^{r+1/p-1/q}_{p,s}(\mathbb{R};X), \mathrm{B}^r_{q,s}(\mathbb{R};X))} \leq \tau_{p,X} c_{q,X}\, R_X(\{m(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}).$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a Banach space with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty]$. Then $L_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{B}^{1/p-1/q}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}; X), \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R}; X))$ with*

$$\|L_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{B}^{1/p-1/q}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R};X), \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};X))} \leq \tau_{p,X} c_{q,X}\, \|\mathcal{F}\mu\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$$

for each $\mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By the Kahane contraction principle, $R_X(\{\mathcal{F}\mu(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}) = \|\mathcal{F}\mu\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$. Since $\mathrm{B}^0_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is contractively embedded in $\mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R}; X)$, the result follows by applying Proposition 2.4 to $L_\mu = T_{\mathcal{F}\mu}$. ☐

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of Banach lattices from [38].

Definition 2.6. Let X be a Banach lattice and $p, q \in [1,\infty]$.

- X is *p-convex* if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$,
$$\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k|^p\Big)^{1/p}\Big\| \leq C\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \|x_k\|^p\Big)^{1/p},$$
with the obvious modification for $p = \infty$.
- X is *q-concave* if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$,
$$\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \|x_k\|^q\Big)^{1/q} \leq C\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k|^q\Big)^{1/q}\Big\|,$$
with the obvious modification for $q = \infty$.

Every Banach lattice X is 1-convex and ∞-concave. If X is p-convex and q-concave then it is r-convex and s-concave for all $r \in [1,p]$ and $s \in [q,\infty]$. By [38, Proposition 1.f.3], if X is q-concave then it has cotype $\max(q,2)$, and if X is p-convex and q-concave for some $q < \infty$ then X has type $\min(p,2)$. For (Ω,μ) a measure space and $r \in [1,\infty)$, $\mathrm{L}^r(\Omega,\mu)$ is an r-convex and r-concave Banach lattice.

Recall that a subspace $X \subseteq Y$ of a Banach space Y is said to be *complemented* if there exists a projection $P \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ with $P(Y) = X$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a complemented subspace of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice, where $p \in [1,2]$ and $q \in [2,\infty)$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $T_m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};X), \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};X))$ with*

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};X),\mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};X))} \leq C R_X(\{(1+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} m(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}) \tag{2.8}$$

for each $m \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{L}(X))$ such that $R_X(\{(1+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} m(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}) < \infty$.

Proof. Write $\theta := \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})$. Let Y be a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice with $X \subseteq Y$ and $P \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ a projection with $P(Y) = X$. Let $m \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{L}(X))$ be such that $R_X(\{(1+s^2)^\theta m(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}) < \infty$. It is straightforward to check that $mP \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{L}(Y))$, where $mP(s) := m(s)P \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, is such that

$$R_Y(\{(1+s^2)^\theta mP(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}) \leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} R_X(\{(1+s^2)^\theta m(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}). \tag{2.9}$$

It is shown in [44] that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ independent of m such that $T_{mP} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};Y), \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};Y))$ with

$$\|T_{mP}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};Y),\mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};Y))} \leq C R_Y(\{(1+s^2)^\theta mP(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}). \tag{2.10}$$

Since $T_{mP}\restriction_{\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};X)} = T_m$, combining (2.9) and (2.10) concludes the proof. □

3. Transference principles

In this section we establish two new transference principles for C_0-groups.

For $\omega \geq 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ let $\mu_\omega \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$\mu_\omega(\mathrm{d}s) := \cosh(\omega s)\mu(\mathrm{d}s), \tag{3.1}$$

and note that

$$\mathcal{F}\mu_\omega(s) = \frac{f(s+\mathrm{i}\omega) + f(s-\mathrm{i}\omega)}{2} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\omega > \omega_0 \geq 0$ and $p \in [1,2]$, $q \in [2,\infty)$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that the following holds. Let X be a Banach space with type p and cotype q, and let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $\|U(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M\cosh(\omega_0 s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $M \geq 1$. Then*

$$\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} U(s)x\,\mu(\mathrm{d}s)\right\|_X \leq C\tau_{p,X} c_{q,X} M^2 \|\mathcal{F}\mu_\omega\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|x\|_{1/p-1/q,1}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ and all $x \in \mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{D}_A(0,1) = \{0\}$, we may assume that $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q} \in (0,1)$. Let

$$\psi(s) := \frac{1}{\cosh(2\omega s)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi(s) := \frac{\sqrt{8}\omega}{\pi}\frac{\cosh(\omega s)}{\cosh(2\omega s)} \tag{3.3}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\iota : X \to \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};X)$ by

$$\iota x(s) := \psi(-s)U(-s)x \qquad (x \in X, s \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{3.4}$$

and $P : \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};X) \to X$ by

$$Pf := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s)U(s)f(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \qquad (f \in \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};X)). \tag{3.5}$$

Then ι is bounded, with

$$\|\iota\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};X))} \leq M \left\|\psi(\cdot)\cosh(\omega_0\cdot)\right\|_p . \tag{3.6}$$

By Hölder's inequality, P is bounded and

$$\|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};X),X)} \leq M \left\|\varphi(\cdot)\cosh(\omega_0\cdot)\right\|_{q'} . \tag{3.7}$$

It is shown in the proof of [28, Proposition 3.1] that $\iota : \mathrm{D}(A) \to \mathrm{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R};X)$ is bounded, with

$$\|\iota\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}(A),\mathrm{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R};X))} \leq M(2\omega \left\|\tanh\right\|_\infty + 1) \left\|\psi(\cdot)\cosh(\omega_0\cdot)\right\|_p . \tag{3.8}$$

Now,

$$\mathrm{B}^{1/p-1/q}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R};X) = \big(\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R};X), \mathrm{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R};X)\big)_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1}$$

with equivalent norms, where the constant which occurs in the norm equivalence does not depend on X (see [28, Lemma 2.5]). Hence it follows from (1.6), (3.6) and (3.8) that $\iota : \mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1) \to \mathrm{B}^{1/p-1/q}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R};X)$ is bounded with

$$\|\iota\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),\mathrm{B}^{1/p-1/q}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R};X))} \leq C_1 M, \tag{3.9}$$

for some constant $C_1 \geq 0$ independent of A and X.

It is shown in [24, Theorem 3.2] that $\varphi * \psi(s) = \frac{1}{\cosh(\omega s)}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $U_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ be as in (2.3). Then the abstract transference principle from [25, Section 2] yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{B}^{1/p-1/q}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R};X) \arrow[r, "L_{\mu_\omega}"] & \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R};X) \arrow[d, "P"] \\
\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1) \arrow[u, "\iota"] \arrow[r, "U_\mu"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

of bounded maps. Finally, estimate the norms of P and ι using (3.7) and (3.9) and apply Corollary 2.5 to L_{μ_ω}. □

For Banach lattices we establish another transference principle.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\omega > \omega_0 \geq 0$ and $p \in [1,2]$, $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let X be a complemented subspace of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that the following holds. Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $\|U(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M\cosh(\omega_0 s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $M \geq 1$. Then*

$$\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} U(s)x\,\mu(\mathrm{d}s)\right\|_X \leq CM^2 \sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \Big((1+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}|\mathcal{F}\mu_\omega(s)|\Big)\|x\|_X$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ and all $x \in X$.

Proof. For $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ let $U_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ be as in (2.3). Let ι and P be as in (3.4) and (3.5), with ψ and φ as in (3.3). As in the proof of Proposition 3.1, one can factorize U_μ as $U_\mu = P \circ L_{\mu_\omega} \circ \iota$. Hence (3.6), (3.7) and Proposition 2.7 conclude the proof. □

4. RESULTS FOR C_0-GROUPS

In this section we obtain functional calculus results for generators of C_0-groups.

4.1. **The main result for C_0-groups.** We now prove our main functional calculus result for C_0-groups, already stated in the Introduction as Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $-\mathrm{i}A$ *generate a* C_0*-group* $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(X)$ *on a Banach space* X *with type* $p\in[1,2]$ *and cotype* $q\in[2,\infty)$*. Let* $\omega>\theta(U)$*. Then there exists a constant* $C\geq 0$ *such that* $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)\subseteq\mathrm{D}(f(A))$ *and*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)}\leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$.

Proof. First consider $f\in\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ and let $\alpha\in(\theta(U),\omega)$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists a $\mu\in\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ with $f=\mathcal{F}\mu_\alpha$ and $f(A)=U_\mu$. By Proposition 3.1,

$$\|f(A)x\|_X\leq C\,\|\mathcal{F}\mu_\alpha\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1}$$

for all $x\in\mathrm{D}_A(1/p-1/q,1)$ and some constant $C\geq 0$ independent of f and x. This implies (4.1) since $\|\mathcal{F}\mu_\alpha\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}$, as follows from (3.2).

For general $f\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$, define $\tau_k(z):=-k^2(\mathrm{i}k-z)^{-2}$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k>\omega$ and $z\in\mathrm{St}_\omega$. Then $f\tau_k\in\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ for all k,

$$\sup_k\|f\tau_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}\leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}\sup_k\|\tau_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}<\infty,$$

and $f\tau_k(z)\to f(z)$ as $k\to\infty$, for all $z\in\mathrm{St}_\omega$. By what we have already shown,

$$\|f\tau_k(A)x\|_X\leq C\,\|f\tau_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}\,\|x\|_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1}\leq C'\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}\,\|x\|_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1},$$

where $C'=C\sup_k\|\tau_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}$. Since $\mathrm{D}(A^2)\subseteq\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ is dense, and since $\limsup_k\|\tau_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}=1$, Lemma 2.2 yields $f(A)\in\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)$ with

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)}\leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)},$$

which concludes the proof. □

Under additional assumptions we can improve Theorem 4.1. Note that (4.2) indeed improves (4.1), since $\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,1)\subseteq\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^\theta)$ for each group generator A, $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ sufficiently large and $\theta\in[0,1]$, by [39, Proposition 4.1.7].

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $-\mathrm{i}A$ *generate a* C_0*-group* $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(X)$ *on a complemented subspace* X *of a* p*-convex and* q*-concave Banach lattice, where* $p\in[1,2]$ *and* $q\in[2,\infty)$*. Let* $\lambda>\omega>\theta(U)$*. Then there exists a constant* $C\geq 0$ *such that* $\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})\subseteq\mathrm{D}(f(A))$ *and*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}),X)}\leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} \tag{4.2}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$.

Proof. Write $\theta:=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$. For $f\in\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ and $\alpha\in(\theta(U),\omega)$ there exists a $\mu\in\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ with $f=\mathcal{F}\mu_\alpha$ and $f(A)=U_\mu$, by Lemma 2.1. Let $x\in\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^\theta)$. By [22, Corollary 3.3.6],

$$f(A)x=f(A)(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{-\theta}(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^\theta x=U_\mu U_\nu(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^\theta x=U_{\mu*\nu}(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^\theta x,$$

where $\nu\in\mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $\nu(\mathrm{d}s):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)}\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(s)s^{\theta-1}\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda s}\mathrm{d}s$. By (3.2),

$$\sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}}(1+|s|^2)^{\theta/2}|\mathcal{F}(\mu*\nu)_\alpha(s)|\leq C_1\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}$$

for some constant $C_1 \geq 0$. Now Proposition 3.2 yields a constant $C_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(A)x\|_X &\leq C_2 \sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}} (1+|s|^2)^{\theta/2}|\mathcal{F}(\mu * \nu)_\alpha(s)| \left\|(\lambda + \mathrm{i}A)^\theta x\right\|_X \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} \left\|(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^\theta x\right\|_X ,\end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.2) for $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$. To obtain (4.2) for general $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

Remark 4.3. Note from the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 that the constants in (4.1) and (4.2) depend on A only through through the norm $\|U(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ of $U(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In (4.1) the constant C depends on the underlying space X only through the Gaussian type p constant and the Gaussian cotype q constant of X. In particular, the dependence of C on these constants is as in Proposition 2.4. In (4.2) the constant depends on the underlying space only through the constant in (2.8). Note from the proof of Proposition 2.7 that, if (2.8) holds with constant $C \geq 0$ on Y and if X is complemented in Y by a projection P, then (2.8) holds on X with constant $C\|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)}$.

4.2. More results for C_0-groups.

We now derive some additional results for group generators from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\omega > \theta(U)$ and $\alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \omega$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{D}((\lambda + \mathrm{i}A)^\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{D}(f(A))$ and*

$$\left\|f(A)(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{-\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$.

Proof. The case $p = q = 2$ follows from Theorem 4.2. If $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \in (0,1)$ then, by [39, Propositions 1.1.4 and 4.1.7], $\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 1)$ continuously. Hence the proof is concluded by appealing to Theorem 4.1. □

In Proposition 4.4 we may let $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ if X is a complemented subspace of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice, as follows from Theorem 4.2. The same applies to the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ and $\lambda > \omega > \theta(U)$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that the following holds. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ be such that $f(z) \in O(|z|^{-\alpha})$ as $|\mathrm{Re}(z)| \to \infty$. Then $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C \sup_{z\in\mathrm{St}_\omega} |\lambda + \mathrm{i}z|^\alpha |f(z)|. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.4 to $(\lambda + \mathrm{i}\cdot)^\alpha f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$. □

Remark 4.6. Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a UMD space X. In [24] it is shown that A has a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$-calculus for all $\omega > \theta(U)$, where $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ is defined by (1.4). Since X has type $p \in (1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$, one can compare our results with those in [24]. We note that our results do not imply those in [24], nor does [24] imply the results in this article.

Indeed, let $\omega > \theta(U)$. Then $f(z) := (\lambda + \mathrm{i}z)^{-\alpha}$ defines an element of $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda > \omega$, but Corollary 4.5 does not apply to f if $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})$. Also, the function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ given by $f(z) := \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}z}(\lambda + \mathrm{i}z)^{-\alpha}$ is not an element of $\mathcal{H}^\infty_1(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ if $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 1)$ but decays with order $\alpha > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ at infinity.

Although in both these examples it is clear that $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, the difference between estimating $\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ by (1.4) or using (4.3) is relevant for numerical approximation methods, as shown in Section 7.

We now obtain a version of Theorem 4.1 for other interpolation spaces.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1, 2]$ and cotype $q \in [2, \infty)$. Let $\omega > \theta(U)$, $r \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q})$ and $s \in [1, \infty]$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|f(A)x\|_{r,s} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} \|x\|_{r+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},s}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}_A(r + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, s)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ and first consider the case where $s = 1$. Then the part $-\mathrm{i}A_{r,1}$ of $-\mathrm{i}A$ in $\mathrm{D}_A(r, 1)$ generates the C_0-group $(U(s)\restriction_{\mathrm{D}_A(r,1)})_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(r, 1))$ which satisfies $\theta(U\restriction_{\mathrm{D}_A(r,1)}) \leq \theta(U)$, by [28, Lemma 2.2]. Hence Theorem 4.1 yields

$$\|f(A_{r,1})x\|_{r,1} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} \|x\|_{(\mathrm{D}_A(r,1),\mathrm{D}(A_{r,1}))_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1}} \tag{4.4}$$

for all $x \in (\mathrm{D}_A(r, 1), \mathrm{D}(A_{r,1}))_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{D}_A(r, 1) = (X, \mathrm{D}(A^2))_{\frac{r}{2},1}$ and $\mathrm{D}(A_{r,1}) = (X, \mathrm{D}(A^2))_{\frac{r+1}{2},1}$ with equivalent norms, by [39, Proposition 3.1.5]. Hence, by [39, Proposition 3.1.5] and the Reiteration Theorem (see [39, Theorem 1.3.5]),

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{D}_A(r, 1), \mathrm{D}(A_{r,1}))_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1} &= ((X, \mathrm{D}(A^2))_{\frac{r}{2},1}, (X, \mathrm{D}(A^2))_{\frac{r+1}{2},1})_{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1}\\ &= (X, \mathrm{D}(A^2))_{\frac{1}{2}(r+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}),1}\\ &= \mathrm{D}_A(r + \tfrac{1}{p} - \tfrac{1}{q}, 1).\end{aligned}$$

Combine this with (4.4), using that $f(A_{r,1})x = f(A)x$ for all $x \in \mathrm{D}(f(A_{r,1}))$ by [28, Lemma 2.2], to conclude the proof in the case where $s = 1$.

For general $s \in [1, \infty]$, the Reiteration Theorem yields

$$\mathrm{D}_A(r, s) = (\mathrm{D}_A(\theta_1, 1), \mathrm{D}_A(\theta_2, 1))_{\theta,s}$$

and

$$\mathrm{D}_A(r + \tfrac{1}{p} - \tfrac{1}{q}, s) = (\mathrm{D}_A(\theta_1 + \tfrac{1}{p} - \tfrac{1}{q}, 1), \mathrm{D}_A(\theta_2 + \tfrac{1}{p} - \tfrac{1}{q}, 1))_{\theta,s}$$

for certain $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q})$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Now apply (1.6) to what we have shown already to conclude the proof. □

Remark 4.8. For $q < \infty$ Proposition 4.7 can be proved directly, without using Theorem 4.1. To do so, adapt Proposition 3.1 to the setting of Proposition 4.7, using instead of Corollary 2.5 the more general Proposition 2.4, and then proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Similarly, Theorem 4.2 extends to other fractional domains.

Proposition 4.9. *Let* $-\mathrm{i}A$ *generate a* C_0*-group* $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(X)$ *on a complemented subspace* X *of a* p*-convex and* q*-concave Banach lattice, where* $p\in[1,2]$ *and* $q\in[2,\infty)$*. Let* $\lambda>\omega>\theta(U)$*. Then there exists a constant* $C\geq 0$ *such that*

$$\|(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\alpha}f(A)x\|_{X}\leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega})}\,\|(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}x\|_{X}$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$*,* $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega})$ *and* $x\in\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$*.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.2 to $(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\alpha}x\in\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$ for each $x\in\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$. □

5. Results for sectorial operators and cosine functions

In this section we derive from Theorem 4.1 some results for sectorial operators and generators of cosine functions.

5.1. Sectorial operators.

For $\varphi\in(0,\pi)$ let $\mathrm{S}_{\varphi}:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\mid|\arg(z)|<\varphi\}$. An operator A on a Banach space X is said to be a *sectorial operator* of angle φ if $\sigma(A)\subseteq\overline{\mathrm{S}_{\varphi}}$ and $\sup\{\|zR(z,A)\|\mid\psi\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathrm{S}_{\psi}\}<\infty$ for all $\psi\in(\varphi,\pi)$.

For sectorial operators one can construct a functional calculus in a similar manner as for strip-type operators. Define $f(A)\in\mathcal{L}(X)$ via a Cauchy-type integral for

$$f\in\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{0}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi}):=\left\{g\in\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi})\,\middle|\,\exists C,\delta>0:|g(z)|\leq C\frac{|z|^{\delta}}{|1+z|^{2\delta}}\right\}$$

and then regularize as in (2.2). For details see [22, Chapter 2]. If A is an injective sectorial operator of angle $\varphi\in(0,\pi)$ then $\log(A)$ is defined via the sectorial calculus for A, as is $f(A)$ for all $\psi\in(\varphi,\pi)$ and $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi})$. A sectorial operator A of angle $\varphi\in(0,\pi)$ has *bounded imaginary powers* if A is injective and if $-\mathrm{i}\log(A)$ generates a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $U(s)=A^{-\mathrm{i}s}$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and A is sectorial of angle $\theta_A:=\theta(U)$, by [43, Theorem 2]. We write $A\in\mathrm{BIP}(X)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $A\in\mathrm{BIP}(X)$ *with* $\theta_A<\pi$*, where* X *is a Banach space with type* $p\in[1,2]$ *and cotype* $q\in[2,\infty)$*. Let* $\psi\in(\theta_A,\pi)$*. Then there exists a constant* $C\geq 0$ *such that* $\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)\subseteq\mathrm{D}(f(A))$ *and*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)}\leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi})}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi})$*.*

Proof. By [22, Theorem 4.2.4], $(g\circ\log)(A)=g(\log(A))$ for all $g\in\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\psi})$. Since $g\mapsto g\circ\log$ is an isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\psi})\to\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi})$, Theorem 4.1 concludes the proof. □

In fact, one can deduce from Proposition 4.7 that $f(A):\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(r+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},s)\to\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(r,s)$ is bounded for each $r\in(0,1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q})$ and each $s\in[1,\infty]$, with

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(r+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},s),\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(r,s))}\leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi})}\,.$$

In the same manner one can deduce from Corollary 4.5 that each $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{S}_{\psi})$ such that $f(z)\in O(|2\pi-\mathrm{i}\log(z)|^{-\alpha})$ for some $\alpha>\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ satisfies $f(A)\in\mathcal{L}(X)$.

From Theorem 5.1 we obtain unconditionality of the functional calculus and square function estimates in the same manner as in [35, Theorem 12.2].

Corollary 5.2. *Let $A \in \mathrm{BIP}(X)$ with $\theta_A < \pi$, where X is a Banach space with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\psi \in (\theta_A, \pi)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}_0^\infty(\mathrm{S}_\psi)$ and let $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a Rademacher sequence on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- $\sup\{\| \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \epsilon_k f(2^k tA)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0, \epsilon_k = \pm 1\} < \infty$*;*
- *there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

  $$\sup_{t>0} \Big\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r_k f(2^k tA)x \Big\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;X)} \leq C \, \|x\|_{\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)}$$

  for all $x \in \mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 1)$, and

  $$\sup_{t>0} \Big\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r_k f(2^k tA)^* x^* \Big\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)^*)} \leq C \, \|x^*\|_{X^*}$$

  for all $x^* \in X^*$.

Remark 5.3. If X is a complemented subspace of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice, for $p \in [1,2]$ and $q \in [2,\infty)$, then Theorem 4.2 yields that $f(A) : \mathrm{D}((2\pi + \mathrm{i}\log(A))^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}) \to X$ is bounded for all $\psi \in (\theta_A, \pi)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{S}_\psi)$. Then Corollary 5.2 can also be improved by replacing $\mathrm{D}_{\log(A)}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 1)$ by $\mathrm{D}((2\pi + \mathrm{i}\log(A))^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$.

5.2. **Cosine functions.** For $\omega \geq 0$ let $\mathit{\Pi}_\omega := \{z^2 \mid z \in \mathrm{St}_\omega\}$. An operator A on a Banach space X is of *parabola-type* ω if $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathit{\Pi}_\omega}$ and if for all $\omega' > \omega$ there exists $M_{\omega'} \geq 0$ such that

$$\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \frac{M_{\omega'}}{\sqrt{|\lambda|}\,\left(|\mathrm{Im}(\sqrt{\lambda})| - \omega'\right)} \qquad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathit{\Pi}_{\omega'}).$$

For operators of parabola-type $\omega \geq 0$ there is a natural functional calculus, constructed similarly as the strip-type and sectorial functional calculi, and $f(A)$ is defined as an unbounded operator for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_{\omega'})$, $\omega' > \omega$. For details see [26].

A *cosine function* $\mathrm{Cos} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X is a strongly continuous mapping such that $\mathrm{Cos}(0) = I$ and

$$\mathrm{Cos}(t+s) + \mathrm{Cos}(t-s) = 2\mathrm{Cos}(t)\mathrm{Cos}(s) \qquad (s,t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then

$$\theta(\mathrm{Cos}) := \inf \left\{ \omega \geq 0 \,\middle|\, \exists M \geq 0 : \|\mathrm{Cos}(t)\| \leq M\mathrm{e}^{\omega|t|} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} < \infty.$$

The *generator* of a cosine function is the unique operator $-A$ on X that satisfies

$$\lambda R(\lambda^2, -A) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t}\mathrm{Cos}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \qquad (\lambda > \theta(\mathrm{Cos})).$$

Then A is an operator of parabola-type $\theta(\mathrm{Cos})$.

We now prove a version of Theorem 4.1 for generators of cosine functions.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $-A$ generate a cosine function $(\mathrm{Cos}(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\omega > \theta(\mathrm{Cos})$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}), 1) \subseteq \mathrm{D}(f(A))$ and*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}),1),X)} \leq C \, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)$.

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as that of [28, Proposition 5.5]. It suffices to assume that $\theta := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \in (0,1)$. By [34, Theorem 2], there is a unique subspace $V \subseteq X$ such that $\mathrm{D}(A) \subseteq V$ and such that $-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{A}$, where

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathrm{i}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_V \\ -A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with domain $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathrm{D}(A) \times V$, generates a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(V \times X)$ on $V \times X$. Moreover, by [23, Theorem 6.2], $\theta(\mathrm{Cos}) = \theta(U)$. Hence Theorem 4.1 yields a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $g(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta,1), V \times X)$ with

$$\|g(\mathcal{A})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta,1),V\times X)} \leq C\,\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} \tag{5.1}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)$. Then $[z \mapsto g(z) := f(z^2)] \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$, with $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)}$. Moreover, it is straightforward to see that

$$f(A_V) \oplus f(A) = g(\mathcal{A}). \tag{5.2}$$

Now,

$$\mathcal{A}^2 := \begin{bmatrix} A_V & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{A}^2) = \mathrm{D}(A_V) \times \mathrm{D}(A)$. By [39, Proposition 3.1.4],

$$\mathrm{D}(A) \times V \in K_{1/2}(V \times X, \mathrm{D}(A_V) \times \mathrm{D}(A)) \cap J_{1/2}(V \times X, \mathrm{D}(A_V) \times \mathrm{D}(A)),$$

where $K_{1/2}$ and $J_{1/2}$ are as in [39, Definition 1.3.1]. Hence

$$V \in K_{1/2}(X, \mathrm{D}(A)) \cap J_{1/2}(X, \mathrm{D}(A)).$$

Now [39, Theorem 1.3.5] yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta,1) &= (V \times X, \mathrm{D}(A) \times V)_{\theta,1} = (V, \mathrm{D}(A))_{\theta,1} \times (X, V)_{\theta,1} \\ &= \mathrm{D}_A\left(\tfrac{1+\theta}{2}, 1\right) \times \mathrm{D}_A\left(\tfrac{\theta}{2}, 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5.1) and (5.2) yields $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{D}_A\left(\frac{\theta}{2},1\right), X\right)$ with

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{D}_A\left(\frac{\theta}{2},1\right),X\right)} \leq \|g(\mathcal{A})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta,1),V\times X)} \leq C\,\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)} = C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)},$$

as desired. □

From Proposition 4.7 one deduces in a similar manner that, under the assumptions of Proposition 5.4 and for all $r \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}))$ and $s \in [1,\infty]$, there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|f(A)x\|_{r,s} \leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)}\,\|x\|_{r+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}),s}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}_A(r + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}), s)$.

Remark 5.5. Let $-A$ generate a cosine function $(\mathrm{Cos}(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a complemented subspace X of a p-convex and q-concave UMD Banach lattice, where $p \in [1,2]$ and $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\lambda > \omega > \theta(\mathrm{Cos})$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|f(A)x\|_X \leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)}\,\|(\lambda^2 + A)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}x\|_X$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}((\lambda^2 + A)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})})$. This follows from Theorem 4.2 as in the proof of Proposition 5.4, using the complex interpolation method and [22, Theorem 6.6.9] and [24, Theorem 5.5].

It should be noted that generators of cosine functions on UMD spaces have a bounded sectorial $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus, by [24, Theorem 5.5]. Hence on UMD spaces Theorem 5.4 is only of use when it does not suffice to obtain an estimate for $\|f(A)x\|_X$ with respect to the supremum norm of f on a sector.

Proposition 5.4 yields the following analogue of Corollary 4.5. By Remark 5.5 the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})$ is attained on complemented subspaces of p-convex and q-concave UMD Banach lattices.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $-A$ generate a cosine function $(\mathrm{Cos}(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1, 2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})$ and $\lambda > \omega > \theta(U)$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C \sup_{z\in \mathit{\Pi}_\omega} |\lambda^2 + z|^\alpha |f(z)| \tag{5.3}$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathit{\Pi}_\omega)$ such that $f(z) \in O(|z|^{-\alpha})$ as $|z| \to \infty$.

6. Operator-valued functional calculus

In this section we extend our main functional calculus results to R-bounded operator-valued calculi. Since many of the ideas and proofs are similar to those in the sections before, we will leave some details to the reader.

Let A be a strip-type operator of height $\omega_0 \geq 0$ on a Banach space X. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ be the algebra of bounded operators that commute with A, and let $\omega > \omega_0$. For a bounded holomorphic $f : \mathrm{St}_\omega \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\|f(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C|z|^{-\alpha}$ for all $z \in \mathrm{St}_\omega$ and certain $C \geq 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, define $f(A)$ as in (2.1). Regularization as in (2.2) extends this functional calculus to all bounded holomorphic $f : \mathrm{St}_\omega \to \mathcal{A}$.

For $\omega \geq 0$ let $\mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{A})$ consist of the $\mathcal{A}$-valued Borel measures μ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathrm{e}^{\omega s}\mu(\mathrm{d}s)$ is of bounded variation. If $-\mathrm{i}A$ generates a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ then one can define $U_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ as in (2.3) for all $\omega > \theta(U)$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{A})$. Versions of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 hold for this operator-valued calculus.

For $\omega > 0$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ let $R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{T})$ be the collection of bounded holomorphic functions $f : \mathrm{St}_\omega \to \mathcal{T}$ such that $\{f(z) \mid z \in \mathrm{St}_\omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ is R-bounded. If $\mathcal{T}$ is an algebra then $R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{T})$ is a Banach algebra with the norm

$$\|f\|_{R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{T})} := R_X(\{f(z) \mid z \in \mathrm{St}_\omega\}) \qquad (f \in R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{T})).$$

The following result generalizes Theorem 4.1, since each $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ defines an element of $R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{A})$ with $\|f\|_{R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{A})} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1, 2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\omega > \theta(U)$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 1) \subseteq \mathrm{D}(f(A))$ and*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)} \leq C\,\|f\|_{R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{A})}$$

for all $f \in R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. First extend Proposition 3.1 to all $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_\omega(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{A})$ for which $R_X(\{\mathcal{F}\mu_\omega(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}) < \infty$. To this end, note that the abstract transference principle in [25, Section 2] extends to $\mathcal{A}$-valued measures, and appeal to Proposition 2.4 instead of Corollary 2.5. Then proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

The other results in the previous sections can be extended to statements about operator-valued calculi in a similar manner. In particular, if in Proposition 6.1 X is a complemented subspace of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice, then for each $\lambda > \omega$ there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}) \subseteq \mathrm{D}(f(A))$ and

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}),X)} \leq C\,\|f\|_{R\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega};\mathcal{A})} \tag{6.1}$$

for all $f \in R\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega};\mathcal{A})$. Remark 4.3 applies to Proposition 6.1 and (6.1).

Let $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(r'_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be mutually independent Rademacher sequences on a probability space $(\Omega,\mathbb{P})$. We say that a Banach space X has property (α) if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{x_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^{m} \subseteq X$ and $\{\alpha_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^{m} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_{j,k}| \leq 1$ for all $j,k \in \{1,\ldots,m\}$,

$$\Big(\mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} r_k r'_j \alpha_{j,k} x_{j,k}\Big\|^2\Big)^{1/2} \leq C\Big(\mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} r_k r'_j x_{j,k}\Big\|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Each Banach lattice with finite cotype has property (α), and if X has property (α) then so do the closed subspaces of X and $\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega;X)$ for each σ-finite measure space (Ω,μ) and each $p \in [1,\infty)$.

Let A be a strip-type operator of height $\omega_0 \geq 0$ on a Banach space X and let $\omega > \omega_0$. Let $Y \subseteq X$. We say that A has an *R-bounded $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega})$-calculus from Y to X* if $Y \subseteq \mathrm{D}(f(A))$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega})$ and

$$\{f(A)\restriction_{Y} \mid f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega}), \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega})} \leq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(Y,X)$$

is R-bounded.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with property (α), type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $\omega > \theta(U)$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$R_{\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X}(\{f(A)\restriction_{\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)} \mid f \in R\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega};\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{T})\}) \leq C R_X(\mathcal{T})$$

for each R-bounded $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$.

In particular, A has an R-bounded $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{St}_{\omega})$-calculus from $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ to X for all $\omega > \theta(U)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [35, Theorem 12.8]. Write $\theta := \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ and let $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of Rademacher functions on $[0,1]$, given by $r_k(t) = \mathrm{sgn}(\sin(2^k\pi t))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0,1]$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathrm{Rad}^n(X) := \{\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \mid (x_k)_{k=1}^{n} \subseteq X\} \subseteq \mathrm{L}^2([0,1];X)$. Let $\widetilde{A}$ be the operator on $\mathrm{Rad}^n(X)$ with domain

$$\mathrm{D}(\widetilde{A}) = \Big\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in \mathrm{Rad}^n(X) \Big| (x_n)_{k=1}^{n} \subseteq \mathrm{D}(A)\Big\}$$

such that $\widetilde{A}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k A x_k$ for $\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in \mathrm{D}(\widetilde{A})$. Then $-\mathrm{i}\widetilde{A}$ generates the C_0-group $(\widetilde{U}(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))$ given by

$$\widetilde{U}(s)\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k U(s) x_k$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in \mathrm{Rad}^n(X)$. Note that $\|\widetilde{U}(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))} = \|U(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Rad}^n(X)$ has type p and cotype q with $\tau_{p,\mathrm{Rad}^n(X)} \leq C_p \tau_{p,X}$ and $c_{q,\mathrm{Rad}^n(X)} \leq C_q c_{q,X}$ for constants $C_p, C_q \geq 0$ depending only on p and q that come from the Kahane-Khintchine inequalities. By Proposition 6.1 and Remark 4.3 there exists a constant $C_1 \geq 0$ independent of n such that

$$\|f(\widetilde{A})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{A}}(\theta,1),\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))} \leq C_1 \, \|f\|_{R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})} \tag{6.2}$$

for all $f \in R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))$ is the algebra of operators commuting with $\widetilde{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ be R-bounded and let $(f_k)_{k=1}^n \subseteq R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{T})$. Define

$$f(z)\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k f_k(z) x_k$$

for $z \in \mathrm{St}_\omega$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in \mathrm{Rad}^n(X)$. Then $f(\mathrm{St}_\omega) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and we now show that the range of f is R-bounded in $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))$.

Let $(r'_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Rademacher sequence on $[0,1]$, independent of $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(z_j)_{j=1}^m \subseteq \mathrm{St}_\omega$ and $(y_j)_{j=1}^m \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}^n(X)$. Write $y_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_{jk}$ for $j \in \{1,\ldots,m\}$, with $(x_{jk})_{k=1}^n \subseteq X$. Then [35, Lemma 4.11 and Remark 4.10] yield a constant $C_2 \geq 0$ depending only on X such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} r'_j f(z_j) y_j\Big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2([0,1];\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))} &= \int_0^1\int_0^1 \Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n} r'_j(v) r_k(u) f(z_j) x_{jk}\Big\|_X^2 \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\
&\leq C_2^2 R_X(\mathcal{T})^2 \int_0^1\int_0^1 \Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n} r'_j(v) r_k(u) x_{jk}\Big\|_X^2 \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\
&= C_2^2 R_X(\mathcal{T})^2 \Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} r'_j y_j\Big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2([0,1];\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \in R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$ with $\|f\|_{R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})} \leq C_2 R_X(\mathcal{T})$. Combining this with (6.2) yields

$$\|f(\widetilde{A})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{A}}(\theta,1),\mathrm{Rad}^m(X))} \leq C_1 C_2 R_X(\mathcal{T}). \tag{6.3}$$

Note that $\big\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\big\|_{\mathrm{Rad}^n(\mathrm{D}(A))} \leq \big\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\big\|_{\mathrm{D}(\widetilde{A})} \leq 2\big\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\big\|_{\mathrm{Rad}^n(\mathrm{D}(A))}$ for all $\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in \mathrm{D}(\widetilde{A})$, hence $\mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{A}}(\theta,1) = \mathrm{Rad}^n(\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,1))$ with

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\Big\|_{\mathrm{Rad}^n(\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,1))} \leq \Big\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\Big\|_{\mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{A}}(\theta,1)} \leq 2\Big\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\Big\|_{\mathrm{Rad}^n(\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,1))} \tag{6.4}$$

for all $\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in \mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{A}}(\theta,1)$. Also, $f(\widetilde{A})(\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k f_k(A) x_k$ for all $\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in \mathrm{D}(f(\widetilde{A}))$. Hence (6.3) and (6.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k f_k(A) x_k \Big\|_{\mathrm{L}^2([0,1];X)} &= \Big\| f(\widetilde{A})\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k\Big)\Big\|_{\mathrm{Rad}^n(X)} \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 R_X(\mathcal{T}) \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \Big\|_{\mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{A}}(\theta,1)} \\ &\leq C R_X(\mathcal{T}) \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \Big\|_{\mathrm{L}^2([0,1];\mathrm{D}_A(\theta,1))}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \subseteq X$, where $C \geq 0$ is independent of $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(f_k)_{k=1}^{n} \subseteq R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega; \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{T})$ and $(x_k)_{k=1}^{n} \subseteq X$. This concludes the proof of the first statement.

For the second statement simply apply the first part of the theorem with $\mathcal{T}$ the unit ball of $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$. □

In the same manner we can deduce an R-bounded version of Theorem 4.2, under the extra assumption that X has nontrivial type. Recall that any closed subspace of a Banach lattice with finite cotype has property (α).

Theorem 6.3. *Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a complemented subspace X of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice, where $p \in [1,2]$ and $q \in [2,\infty)$. Suppose that X has nontrivial type, and let $\lambda > \omega > \theta(U)$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$R_{\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}),X}(\{f(A)\restriction_{\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})} \mid f \in R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega; \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{T})\}) \leq C R_X(\mathcal{T})$$

for each R-bounded $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$.

In particular, A has an R-bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$-calculus from $\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$ to X for all $\lambda > \omega > \theta(U)$.

Proof. We use notation as in the proof of Theorem 6.2. It suffices to show that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|f(\widetilde{A})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}((\lambda+\mathrm{i}\widetilde{A})^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}),\mathrm{Rad}^n(X))} \leq C\, \|f\|_{R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega;\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})} \tag{6.5}$$

for all $f \in R\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega; \widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$. Indeed, once this has been established, the rest of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 6.2. To obtain (6.5) apply (6.1) to $\widetilde{A}$ on $\mathrm{Rad}^n(X)$. To see that the constant C that one gets from this can be chosen independently of n, by Remark 4.3 it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Rad}^n(X)$ is complemented in $\mathrm{L}^2([0,1];X)$ by a projection $P_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L}^2([0,1];X))$ with $\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L}^2([0,1];X))} \leq C'$ for some $C' \geq 0$ independent of n. The latter in turn follows from the assumption on X and Pisier's characterization in [42] of the K-convex Banach as the spaces with nontrivial type. □

We do not know whether the assumption in Theorem 6.3 that X has nontrivial type is necessary.

From Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 we can deduce R-bounded versions of the other results in this paper, and in particular Theorems 5.1 and 5.4, in the obvious manner. Also, as a corollary of our results, for C_0-groups we improve [30, Theorem 6.1].

Corollary 6.4. *Let $p \in [1,2]$ and $q \in [2,\infty)$. Let $-\mathrm{i}A$ generate a C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X with property (α), type p and cotype q. Let $\omega > \theta(U)$. Then $\{\mathrm{e}^{-\omega|s|}U(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)$ is R-bounded.*

If X is a complemented subspace of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice and if X has nontrivial type, then $\{\mathrm{e}^{-\omega|s|}U(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}(\lambda+\mathrm{i}A)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}},X)$ is R-bounded for each $\lambda > \omega$.

Proof. Apply Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 to $\{\mathrm{e}^{-(\omega|s|+\mathrm{i}s\cdot)} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_{\omega'})$ for $\omega' \in (\theta(U),\omega)$. □

As the following example shows, Corollary 6.4 is sharp with respect to the factor $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$, and therefore so are Theorems 6.2 and 6.3.

Example 6.5. Let $p \in [1,\infty)$ and let $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ be the left translation group on $X := \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R})$ with generator $-\mathrm{i}A$, where $Af := \mathrm{i}f'$ for $f \in \mathrm{D}(A) = \mathrm{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathrm{D}((\mathrm{i}A)^\alpha) = \mathrm{H}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mathrm{H}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R})$ is a Bessel-potential space. It was shown in [30, Example 6.2] that $\{U(s) \mid s \in [-1,1]\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{H}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}),\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}))$ is not R-bounded for each $\alpha \in [0,\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})$. Hence $\{\mathrm{e}^{-\omega|s|}U(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}((\mathrm{i}A)^\alpha),X)$ is not R-bounded for each $w \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $\alpha \in [0,\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})$.

7. Rational approximation

In this section we give an application of the results in previous sections to the theory of rational approximation of C_0-groups. Note that the results in Section 6 can be used to replace the uniform bounds in this section by R-bounds.

Recall that a C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X is *exponentially stable* if there exist $M \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M\mathrm{e}^{-\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. We note that, if $-A$ generates an exponentially stable C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is invertible for each $t \geq 0$, $-A$ in fact generates the C_0-group $(U(s))_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$, where $U(s) := T(s)$ for $s \geq 0$ and $U(s) := T(-s)^{-1}$ for $s < 0$. Then $f(A)$ is defined as an unbounded operator for each $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ by a shifted version of the strip-type calculus from Section 2.1.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $-A$ generate an exponentially stable C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1,2]$ and cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$. Suppose that $T(t)$ is invertible for all $t \geq 0$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)} \leq C\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)} \tag{7.1}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$. For each $\beta > \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ there exists a constant $C' \geq 0$ such that

$$\left\|f(A)A^{-\beta}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C'\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)} \tag{7.2}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and apply Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.4 to the strip-type operator $-\mathrm{i}(A-\omega)$ and the function $f(\mathrm{i}\cdot+\omega) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathrm{St}_\omega)$ for $\omega > 0$ large enough. Then use the composition rule

$$f(\mathrm{i}\cdot+\omega)(-\mathrm{i}(A-\omega)) = f(A),$$

which is straightforward to prove in the same manner as [22, Theorem 4.2.4]. □

Lemma 7.1 applies to the important question of the power-boundedness of the *Cayley transform* $\frac{1-A}{1+A}$ of A.

Corollary 7.2. *Let* $-A$ *generate an exponentially stable* C_0*-semigroup* $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}\subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ *on a Banach space* X *with type* $p\in[1,2]$ *and cotype* $q\in[2,\infty)$*. Suppose that* $T(t)$ *is invertible for all* $t\geq 0$*. Then*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Big\|\frac{(1-A)^n}{(1+A)^n}\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)}<\infty.$$

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ let p_n and q_n be the unique polynomials of degree n respectively $n+1$ such that $p_n(0)=q_n(0)=1$ and such that

$$\left|\frac{p_n(z)}{q_n(z)}-\mathrm{e}^z\right|\leq C|z|^{2n+2}$$

for all z in a neighborhood of $0\in\mathbb{C}$. Let $r_n:=\frac{p_n}{q_n}$. Then r_n is the n-th *subdiagonal Padé approximation* of the exponential function.

For $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\in[0,\frac{1}{2})$ the following proposition improves convergence rates obtained in [15, Theorem 4.1] for uniformly bounded C_0-semigroups on general Banach spaces. Note that, on a Banach space X with type $p\in[1,2]$ and cotype $q\in[2,\infty)$, for $\alpha>\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ we obtain strong convergence of $r_n(-tA)$ to $T(t)$ on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ with rate $\cap_{a<\alpha}O(n^{-a+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$, locally uniformly in t.

Proposition 7.3. *Let* $-A$ *generate an exponentially stable* C_0*-semigroup* $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ *on a Banach space* X *with type* $p\in[1,2]$ *and cotype* $q\in[2,\infty)$*. Suppose that* $T(t)$ *is invertible for all* $t\geq 0$*. Let* $\alpha>\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ *and* $a\in(0,\alpha-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q})$*. Then there exists a constant* $C\geq 0$ *such that*

$$\|r_n(-tA)x-T(t)x\|_X\leq Ct^a(n+1)^{-a}\,\|A^\alpha x\|_X$$

for all $t\in(0,\infty)$*, all* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *with* $n>\frac{\alpha}{2}-1$ *and all* $x\in\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$*.*

Moreover, $(r_n(-tA))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *converges strongly on* $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ *to* $T(t)$*, locally uniformly in* t*.*

Proof. Let $t\in(0,\infty)$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $n\geq\frac{\alpha}{2}-1$. Set

$$f(z):=\frac{r_n(-tz)-\mathrm{e}^{-tz}}{z^a}\qquad(z\in\mathbb{C}_+).$$

Then Lemma 7.1 yields a constant $C'\geq 0$ such that

$$\big\|(r_n(-tA)-T(t))A^{-\alpha}\big\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}=\big\|f(A)A^{-\alpha+a}\big\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\leq C'\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)}.$$

By [15, Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5],

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)}=t^a\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}_+}\frac{r_n(-tz)-\mathrm{e}^{-tz}}{(tz)^a}\leq 2t^a(n+1)^{-a}.$$

Therefore, with $C:=2C'$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|r_n(-tA)x-T(t)x\|_X&\leq\big\|(r_n(-tA)-T(t))A^{-\alpha}\big\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\,\|A^\alpha x\|_X\\&\leq Ct^a(n+1)^{-a}\,\|A^\alpha x\|_X\end{aligned}$$

for all $x\in\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$, as desired.

Finally, since $\|r_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_-)}\leq 1$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ by [16], Lemma 7.1 yields that

$$\{r_n(-tA)-T(t)\mid n\in\mathbb{N},t\geq 0\}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\tfrac{1}{p}-\tfrac{1}{q},1),X)$$

is uniformly bounded. The required statement now follows from what we have already shown and the fact that $\mathrm{D}(A^2)$ is dense in $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$. □

The same method that was used in Proposition 7.3 to yield strong convergence on $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ also works for other rational approximation methods. Recall that a rational function $r\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_-)$ is said to be *$\mathcal{A}$-stable* if $\|r\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_-)}\leq 1$, and r is a *rational approximation (of the exponential function) of order* $p\in\mathbb{N}$ if there exists a constant $C\geq 0$ such that $|r(z)-\mathrm{e}^z|\leq C|z|^{p+1}$ for all z in a neighborhood of $0\in\mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 7.4. *Let r be an $\mathcal{A}$-stable rational approximation of order $p\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $-A$ generate an exponentially stable C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with type $p\in[1,2]$ and cotype $q\in[2,\infty)$. Suppose that $T(t)$ is invertible for all $t\geq 0$. Then $(r(-\frac{t}{n}A)^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly on $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ to $T(t)$, locally uniformly in $t\geq 0$.*

Proof. Lemma 7.1 yields a constant $C\geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|r(-\tfrac{t}{n}A)^n-T(t)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1),X)}&\leq C\left\|r(-\tfrac{t}{n}\cdot)^n-\mathrm{e}^{-t\cdot}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)}\\&\leq C(\|r^n\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_-)}+1)\leq 2C\end{aligned}$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t\geq 0$. Since, by [9, Theorem 3], $r(-\frac{t}{n}A)^n$ converges locally uniformly in t to $T(t)$ on $\mathrm{D}(A^{p+1})$, the uniform boundedness of

$$\left\{r(-\tfrac{t}{n}A)^n-T(t)\mid t\geq 0, n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{D}_A(\tfrac{1}{p}-\tfrac{1}{q},1),X)$$

and the fact that $\mathrm{D}(A^{p+1})$ is dense in $\mathrm{D}_A(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q},1)$ yield the desired statement. □

Remark 7.5. If X is a complemented subspace of a p-convex and q-concave Banach lattice, where $p\in[1,2]$ and $q\in[2,\infty)$, then the case $\beta=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$ is attained in Lemma 7.1. Hence, in the setting of Corollary 7.2

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{(1-A)^n}{(1+A)^{n+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}<\infty.$$

Moreover, one obtains rate $O(n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$ in Proposition 7.3, and strong convergence on $\mathrm{D}(A^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})$ in Corollary 7.4.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Mark Veraar for numerous helpful suggestions.

References

[1] H. Amann. Operator-valued Fourier multipliers, vector-valued Besov spaces, and applications. *Math. Nachr.*, 186:5–56, 1997.

[2] W. Arendt. Semigroups and evolution equations: Functional calculus, regularity and kernel estimates. In C.M.Dafermos E. Feireisl, editor, *Handbook of Differential Equations*, pages 1–85. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.

[3] W. Arendt and N. Nikolski. Vector-valued holomorphic functions revisited. *Math. Z.*, 234(4):777–805, 2000.

[4] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, M. Lacey, A. McIntosh, and Ph. Tchamitchian. The solution of the Kato square root problem for second order elliptic operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 156(2):633–654, 2002.

[5] A. Axelsson, S. Keith, and A. McIntosh. Quadratic estimates and functional calculi of perturbed Dirac operators. *Invent. Math.*, 163(3):455–497, 2006.

[6] C. Batty, M. Haase, and J. Mubeen. The holomorphic functional calculus approach to operator semigroups. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 79(1-2):289–323, 2013.

[7] E. Berkson, T. A. Gillespie, and P. S. Muhly. Generalized analyticity in UMD spaces. *Ark. Mat.*, 27(1):1–14, 1989.

[8] K. Boyadzhiev and R. deLaubenfels. Spectral theorem for unbounded strongly continuous groups on a Hilbert space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 120(1):127–136, 1994.

[9] P. Brenner and V. Thomée. On rational approximations of semigroups. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 16(4):683–694, 1979.

[10] A. P. Calderón. Ergodic theory and translation-invariant operators. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 59:349–353, 1968.

[11] A. Carbonaro and O. Dragičević. Functional calculus for generators of symmetric contraction semigroups. Online at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.1338`, 2013.

[12] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss. Transference methods in analysis. Expository lectures from the CBMS Regional Conference held at the University of Nebraska, May 31-June 4, 1976. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. No.31. Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society (AMS) 59, 1977.

[13] M. Cowling. Harmonic analysis on semigroups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(2):267–283, 1983.

[14] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge. *Absolutely summing operators*, volume 43 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[15] M. Egert and J. Rozendaal. Convergence of subdiagonal Padé approximations of C_0-semigroups. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 13(4):875–895, 2013.

[16] B. L. Ehle. A-stable methods and Padé approximations to the exponential. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 4:671–680, 1973.

[17] K. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, volume 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. With contributions by S. Brendle, M. Campiti, T. Hahn, G. Metafune, G. Nickel, D. Pallara, C. Perazzoli, A. Rhandi, S. Romanelli and R. Schnaubelt.

[18] S. Flory, F. Neubrander, and L. Weis. Consistency and stabilization of rational approximation schemes for C_0-semigroups. In *Evolution equations: applications to physics, industry, life sciences and economics. Proceedings of the 7th international conference on evolution equations and their applications, EVEQ2000 conference, Levico Terme, Italy, October 30–November 4, 2000*, pages 181–193. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2003.

[19] M. Girardi and L. Weis. Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems on Besov spaces. *Math. Nachr.*, 251:34–51, 2003.

[20] A. Gomilko and Y. Tomilov. On convergence rates in approximation theory for operator semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266(5):3040–3082, 2014.

[21] B. Haak and M. Haase. Square function estimates and functional calculus. Online at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.6101`, 2013.

[22] M. Haase. *The functional calculus for sectorial operators*, volume 169 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[23] M. Haase. Functional calculus for groups and applications to evolution equations. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 7(3):529–554, 2007.

[24] M. Haase. A transference principle for general groups and functional calculus on UMD spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 345(2):245–265, 2009.

[25] M. Haase. Transference principles for semigroups and a theorem of Peller. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(10):2959–2998, 2011.

[26] M. Haase. The functional calculus approach to cosine operator functions. In *Recent Trends in Analysis. Proceedings of the Conference in honor of N.K. Nikolski held in Bordeaux 2011*, pages 123–147. Theta Foundation, 2013.

[27] M. Haase and J. Rozendaal. Functional calculus for semigroup generators via transference. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 265(12):3345–3368, 2013.

[28] M. Haase and J. Rozendaal. Functional calculus on real interpolation spaces for generators of C_0-groups. To appear in Mathematische Nachrichten. Online at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.6101`, 2014.

[29] M. Hieber and J. Prüss. Functional calculi for linear operators in vector-valued L^p-spaces via the transference principle. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 3(6):847–872, 1998.

[30] T. Hytönen and M. Veraar. R-boundedness of smooth operator-valued functions. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 63(3):373–402, 2009.

[31] P. Jara, F. Neubrander, and K. Özer. Rational inversion of the Laplace transform. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 12(2):435–457, 2012.

[32] N. J. Kalton and L. Weis. The H^∞-calculus and sums of closed operators. *Math. Ann.*, 321(2):319–345, 2001.

[33] N. J. Kalton and L. Weis. The H^∞-functional calculus and square function estimates. Online at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.0472`, 2004.

[34] J. Kisyński. On cosine operator functions and one-parameter groups of operators. *Studia Math.*, 44:93–105, 1972. Collection of articles honoring the completion by Antoni Zygmund of 50 years of scientific activity. I.

[35] P. C. Kunstmann and L. Weis. Maximal L_p-Regularity for Parabolic Equations, Fourier Multiplier Theorems and H^∞-functional Calculus. In *Functional Analytic Methods for Evolution Equations (Levico Terme 2001)*, volume 1855 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 65–312. Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[36] S. Kwapień. Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients. *Studia Math.*, 44:583–595, 1972. Collection of articles honoring the completion by Antoni Zygmund of 50 years of scientific activity, VI.

[37] C. Le Merdy. On square functions associated to sectorial operators. *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.*, 132(1):137–156, 2004.

[38] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. II*, volume 97 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Function spaces.

[39] A. Lunardi. *Interpolation theory*. Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie). [Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)]. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, second edition, 2009.

[40] A. McIntosh. Operators which have an H_∞ functional calculus. In *Miniconference on operator theory and partial differential equations (North Ryde, 1986)*, volume 14 of *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 210–231. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1986.

[41] F. Neubrander, K. Özer, and T. Sandmaier. Rational approximations of semigroups without scaling and squaring. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 33(11-12):5305–5317, 2013.

[42] G. Pisier. Holomorphic semigroups and the geometry of Banach spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(2):375–392, 1982.

[43] J. Prüss and H. Sohr. On operators with bounded imaginary powers in Banach spaces. *Math. Z.*, 203(3):429–452, 1990.

[44] J. Rozendaal and M. Veraar. Fourier multiplier theorems involving (co)type, and stability theorems. In preparation, 2015.

[45] J. van Neerven. γ-radonifying operators—a survey. In *The AMSI-ANU Workshop on Spectral Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, volume 44 of *Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 1–61. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 2010.

[46] J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis. Stochastic maximal L^p-regularity. *Ann. Probab.*, 40(2):788–812, 2012.

Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5031, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands

E-mail address: `janrozendaalmath@gmail.com`